Tariff Interoperability Phase 1b

Consultation Response Proforma

Please respond to the questions relevant to your organisation. For each issue, identify the annex and section, its severity, supporting evidence, implementation impact and proposed resolution. For CCS-related issues, indicate whether the underlying point was separately raised through the CCS consultation.

Respondent details

Name	
Organisation	
Email address	
Confidentiality requested?	Yes / No

If yes, specify which information and why in your covering email or response. Confidentiality cannot be guaranteed in all circumstances.

B1 Annex B – Policy and TI Phase 1b design

Are the proposed TI Phase 1b arrangements for providing account specific tariff information and TI Event Notifications complete and workable? If not, please identify any gaps or issues, their potential impact and your proposed resolution.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

This email/document and its contents have been classified as 'Public' by RECCo. This data should be handled in line with RECCo data handling requirements. If you have received this data in error, please advise the sender or author and delete the data.

B2 Annex B - CCS interface and dependencies

Have you identified any gaps, dependencies or material new evidence relating to the interaction between CCS and TI Phase 1b that could affect successful implementation or operation? If so, please explain the impact on TI Phase 1b and any proposed mitigation. Where the underlying issue concerns the common CCS arrangements, please indicate whether it was raised through the separate CCS consultation.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

B3 Annex B - Multi-rate tariffs – TI Energy Suppliers

For Suppliers: For multi-rate tariffs where the applicable time-of-use periods vary according to meter configuration under a single Tariff ID:

a) Approximately how many customers or metering points are affected? Where possible, indicate whether this relates to traditional or smart meters and whether volumes are expected to change.

Response:

Please provide your response and supporting evidence:

b) If a separate Tariff ID were required for each distinct set of time-of-use periods, approximately how many additional Tariff IDs would you need to create and maintain? Estimates are acceptable.

Response:

Please provide your response and supporting evidence:

c) Do you agree with the proposed use of standardised wording in the Tariff Comments field to indicate when meter-specific information is required? If not, please explain your preferred approach and the reasons for it.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

This email/document and its contents have been classified as 'Public' by RECCo. This data should be handled in line with RECCo data handling requirements. If you have received this data in error, please advise the sender or author and delete the data.

B4 Annex B - Multi-rate tariffs – TI Users / RTI Users

For TI Users / RTI Users: Do you agree with the proposed approach for managing multi-rate tariffs, differentiated by meter configuration? If not please provide details of your preferred approach, with supporting evidence.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

C1 Annex C – Implementation Plan

Have you identified any concerns with the implementation plan that would prevent successful TI Phase 1b go-live in November 2027? Where a concern is identified, responses should explain the affected section or milestone, the practical impact and the proposed resolution.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

C2 Annex C - Testing Approach

Do the proposed testing principles provide an appropriate basis for developing the detailed TI Phase 1b Test Approach and Plan and for providing sufficient confidence in successful implementation? If not, what additional or amended principles should be considered?

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

This email/document and its contents have been classified as 'Public' by RECCo. This data should be handled in line with RECCo data handling requirements. If you have received this data in error, please advise the sender or author and delete the data.

D1 Annex D – REC Schedule 35 and REC Schedule 1

Do you agree that the proposed amendments to REC Schedule 35: Tariff Interoperability Arrangements and REC Schedule 1: Interpretations and Definitions, as set out in Annex D, are clear and workable for TI Phase 1b. If not, please identify the relevant clause or definition, explain your concerns and their potential impact, and propose alternative drafting

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

D2 Annex D - Additional REC amendments

Have you identified any additional amendments to REC Schedule 35 or REC Schedule 1 that are necessary to implement TI Phase 1b? If so, please identify the relevant clause or definition (where applicable), explain why the amendment is required, its potential impact and your proposed drafting.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

E1 Annex E – TI API Technical Specification

Do you agree with introducing a new REC Category 2 TI API Technical Specification covering the proposed Phase 1b requirements and specified existing Phase 1a interoperability requirements? Please explain your answer.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

This email/document and its contents have been classified as 'Public' by RECCo. This data should be handled in line with RECCo data handling requirements. If you have received this data in error, please advise the sender or author and delete the data.

E2 Annex E - Technical Specification requirements

Are the proposed requirements in the TI API Technical Specification clear, complete and workable? If not, please identify the relevant section, explain the issue and its impact, and propose a correction.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

E3 Annex E - Additional Technical Specification changes

Have you identified any additional changes to the API Technical Specification necessary to support TI Phase 1b? If so, please identify the relevant section, explain why the change is required, its potential impact and your proposed resolution.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

E4 Annex E - Phase 1a interoperability requirements

Do you agree with moving the specified existing TI Phase 1a interoperability requirements into the new Category 2 TI API Technical Specification? Please identify any requirements that should be included or excluded and explain any implementation, governance or compliance impacts.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

This email/document and its contents have been classified as 'Public' by RECCo. This data should be handled in line with RECCo data handling requirements. If you have received this data in error, please advise the sender or author and delete the data.

F1 Annex F – Energy Market Data Specification (EMDS)

Do you agree with the proposed changes to the EMDS to support TI Phase 1b? If not, please identify the relevant section, explain why the change is required, its potential impact and your proposed solution.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

F2 Annex F - Additional EMDS changes

Have you identified any additional changes required to the EMDS for TI Phase 1b? Where you propose additional changes, for each additional change, identify relevant section, impact and proposed resolution.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

G1 Annex G – TI Developer Portal

Do you agree with the TI Phase 1b content within the TI Developer Portal? If not, please identify the relevant section, explain why a change is required, its potential impact and your proposed solution.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

This email/document and its contents have been classified as 'Public' by RECCo. This data should be handled in line with RECCo data handling requirements. If you have received this data in error, please advise the sender or author and delete the data.

G2 Annex G - Additional TI Developer Portal changes

Have you identified any additional changes to the TI content within the TI Developer Portal necessary to support TI Phase 1b? If so, please identify the relevant section, explain why a change is required, its potential impact and your proposed resolution.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

G3 Annex G - Consolidation of TI Phase 1a and TI Phase 1b developer guidance

Do you agree with consolidating TI Phase 1a and Phase 1b developer guidance and machine-readable specifications in the TI Developer Portal? Please explain your answer, including any concerns about access, version control, migration or maintaining compatibility with the effective Phase 1a baseline.

Response: Yes / No

Please explain your answer and provide supporting information, where applicable:

Return this completed proforma to tariffinteroperability@retailenergycode.co.uk by 18 December 2026, as set out in the consultation letter.

This email/document and its contents have been classified as 'Public' by RECCo. This data should be handled in line with RECCo data handling requirements. If you have received this data in error, please advise the sender or author and delete the data.